


## Evaluations

The course evaluations were positive, with only 1 session (A3 - Presentation and critique of research briefs received 3.67)<sup>1</sup> out of 18 sessions receiving an average score below 3.9 on a five-point scale as can be seen from the diagram below. All the topics and speakers were highly rated.


## Session Ratings



As evidence of the utility of the course, at the end of day 1, one participant asked permission to invite his daughter working at Tata Consultancy for days 2-4 (with the participant paying for all additional expenses) as he thought she would benefit from the course as well.

<sup>1</sup> This was the first assignment where the participants were divided into 2 groups with Rohan Samarajiva being the facilitator of one group and Payal Malik facilitating the other group. Only 6 out of the group of 12/13 were randomly selected to present their findings. When answering the evaluation sheet, most people have given the same rating for both facilitators, even though they only worked with one. Therefore the results were most likely flawed by a design issue in the evaluation form.

## Speaker Ratings



## Logistical Ratings

